

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED
2008 JUL -3 AM 9:03
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

*This space for
Clerk's Office File Stamp*

TO: ☒ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Houston
FROM: J. Paris, Deputy Clerk BY [Signature] RECEIVED DATE: 6/30/08
CASE NO.: 08cv1033 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Alvin Hennington Jr.
CASE TITLE: Hennington v. FBI
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Ex Parte

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/> 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/> 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X	OTHER: <u>Ex Parte Communication</u> <u>NO relief requested</u>

Date forwarded: 7/1/08

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☒ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Houston

Dated: 7/1/08
cc: All Parties

By: [Signature]

REJECTEDSHORT TITLE: *Alvin Hennington JR.*CASE NUMBER: *37-2008-**VS Federal Bureau of Investigation* *00079157-CU-DF-CTL*

ATTACHMENT (Number):

Page *1* of *6*

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

(Add pages as required)

*Ex-Parte**I was Falsely arrested for the third time,**The second time this year, Since I**Filed the Conflict of Interest civil cases**I Filed a Default Judgement against Federal**Bureau of Investigation ~~May 30, 2008~~ ^{April 20-08} Date of**Complaint March 3, 2008 San Diego Superior**Court of California, County of San Diego.**I Filed an Amended Complaint and served**U.S. Customs and Border Protection services, Federal**Bureau of Investigation On April 23, 2008.**as shown on attached Form [non-levy - San**Diego County Sheriffs Department Form.**As well as conflicts of Interest Federal**Law Violations the Defendants will fully**Violated, The Defendants Also Violated**all laws on page 3*

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)